Case 1:23-cv-00110-JLT-SKO Documer	nt 15 Filed 09/06/23 Page 1 of 2
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10	
ANTEONIO IETER	G N 1 22 0110 H T GVO (PG)
,	Case No.: 1:23-cv-0110 JLT SKO (PC)
·	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
	RECOMMENDATIONS, DISMISSING THE ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE, AND DIRECTING THE CLERK OF COURT TO
	CLOSE THE CASE
Defendants.	(Doc. 14)
Antonio Jeter seeks to hold the defendants liable for civil rights violations pursuant to 42	
Antonio Jeter seeks to hold the defendants liable for civil rights violations pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On July 17, 2023, the magistrate judge screened Plaintiff's complaint pursuant to	
28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a), and found Plaintiff did not state a cognizable claim upon which relief	
could be granted. (Doc. 12.) Plaintiff was granted 21 days to file an amended complaint. (<i>Id.</i> at	
13.) The Court also informed Plaintiff that if he failed to do so, the magistrate judge would	
"recommend that this action be dismissed, without prejudice, for failure to obey a court order and	
24 After Plaintiff did not file an amended complaint or otherwise respond to the screening	
order, the magistrate judge found Plaintiff failed to prosecute his case and failed comply with the	
Court's order. (Doc. 14.) Therefore, the magistrate judge recommended the action be dismissed.	
(<i>Id.</i> at 4.) The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on Plaintiff and notified him that	
any objections were due within 14 days of the date of service. (<i>Id.</i>) The Court also advised him	
	ANTONIO JETER, Plaintiff, v. REYES, et al., Defendants. Antonio Jeter seeks to hold the defendate U.S.C. § 1983. On July 17, 2023, the magistrate 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a), and found Plaintiff did could be granted. (Doc. 12.) Plaintiff was granted. (Doc. 12.) Plaintiff that if I "recommend that this action be dismissed, with for failure to prosecute." (Id., emphasis omitted After Plaintiff did not file an amended order, the magistrate judge found Plaintiff failed Court's order. (Doc. 14.) Therefore, the magistrate Judge found Plaintiff failed Court's order. (Doc. 14.) Therefore, the magistrate Judge found Plaintiff failed Court's order. (Doc. 14.) Therefore, the magistrate Judge found Plaintiff failed Court's order. (Doc. 14.) Therefore, the magistrate Judge found Plaintiff failed Court's order. (Doc. 14.) Therefore, the magistrate Judge found Plaintiff failed Court's order. (Doc. 14.) Therefore, the magistrate Judge found Plaintiff failed Court's order. (Doc. 14.) Therefore, the magistrate Judge found Plaintiff failed Court's order. (Doc. 14.) Therefore, the magistrate Judge found Plaintiff failed Court's order. (Doc. 14.) Therefore, the magistrate Judge found Plaintiff failed Court's order. (Doc. 14.) Therefore, the magistrate Judge found Plaintiff failed Court's order. (Doc. 14.) Therefore, the magistrate Judge found Plaintiff failed Court's order. (Doc. 14.) Therefore, the magistrate Judge found Plaintiff failed Court's order. (Doc. 14.) Therefore, the magistrate Judge found Plaintiff failed Court's order. (Doc. 14.) Therefore, the magistrate Judge found Plaintiff failed Court's order. (Doc. 14.) Therefore, the magistrate Judge found Plaintiff failed Court's order. (Doc. 14.) Therefore, the magistrate Judge found Plaintiff failed Court's order. (Doc. 14.) Therefore, the magistrate Judge found Plaintiff failed Court's order. (Doc. 14.) The Court Served the Findings and Doc. (Doc. 14.) The Court Served the Findings and Doc. (Doc. 14.) The Court Served the Findings and Doc. (Doc. 14.) The Court Served the Find

that the "[f]ailure to file objections within the specified time may result in waiver of his rights on appeal." (Id., citing Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 839 (9th Cir. 2014); Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991).) No objections were filed. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS**: 1. The Findings and Recommendations issued on August 16, 2023 (Doc. 14) are **ADOPTED** in full. 2. This action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice for Plaintiff's failure to obey court orders and failure to prosecute. 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 6, 2023

Case 1:23-cv-00110-JLT-SKO Document 15 Filed 09/06/23 Page 2 of 2